



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Matthew Rose,

Defendant.

Case No.: MJ 23-2961-DUTY

ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:

1. () a crime of violence.
2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.
4. () any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.
5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

1 B. ☐ On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case
2 allegedly involving:

3 ☐ On the further allegation by the Government of:

4 1. ☒ a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

5 2. ☐ a serious risk that the defendant will:

6 a. ☐ obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.

7 b. ☐ threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or
8 juror, or attempt to do so.

9 C. The Government () is/(☒) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
10 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
11 appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.

12 II.

13
14 A. ☒ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
15 reasonably assure:

16 1. ☒ the appearance of the defendant as required.

17 ☒ and/or

18 2. ☒ the safety of any person or the community.

19 B. ☐ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to
20 the contrary the presumption provided by statute.

21 III.

22 The Court has considered:

23
24 A. ☒ the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether
25 the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves
26 a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive
27 device;

28 B. ☒ the weight of evidence against the defendant;

- 1 C. (X) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
 2 D. (X) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.

3
 4 IV.

5 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the
 6 arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services
 7 Report/recommendation.

8
 9 V.

10 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

- 11 A. (✓) As to flight risk:

12 multiple active warrants from numerous other
 13 districts
 14 prior recent failure to appear as well as others
 15 use of alias
 16 stated he had no ^{passport or} history of international travel
 17 but girlfriend said he ~~did~~ told her of trip to Brazil
 18 may have access to large amount of funds from
 19 alleged frauds, as shown by lease of expensive car
 20 apparent flight from law enforcement in Louisiana involving
 21 high-speed chase

- 22 B. (✓) As to danger:

23 lengthy history of similar criminal conduct and allegations
 24 history of probation violations
 25 high-speed chase w/ law enforcement in Louisiana
 26
 27
 28

VI.

- A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:
1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
2. () attempt to/ () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.
- B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

VII.

- A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: June 15, 2023


JEAN ROSENBLUTH
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE